

2010 MT1

Name and Surname:  
Student number:

METU MATH 111, EXAM 1  
Tuesday, November 9, 2010, at 17:40

Instructors: Berkman, Küçüksakallı, Pamuk, Pierce

Instructions: There are 7 numbered problems on 4 pages.  
It should be obvious to the grader how to read your solutions.  
Please work carefully.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
$\Sigma$	

Problem 1. Complete the following 'full' truth-table. (You should write the possible values of the variables in the standard order. The symbol & has the same meaning as  $\wedge$ .)

$(P$	$\vee$	$\neg$	$Q)$	$\Rightarrow$	$(R$	$\&$	$Q)$
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1

Problem 2. Find a formula  $F$  with the following truth-table. Explain briefly what you did.

	$P$	$Q$	$R$	$F$
$\rightarrow$	0	0	0	1
$\rightarrow$	1	0	0	1
	0	1	0	0
	1	1	0	0
	0	0	1	0
	1	0	1	0
$\rightarrow$	0	1	1	1
	1	1	1	0

Disjunctive Normal Form:

$$(\neg P \wedge \neg Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (P \wedge \neg Q \wedge \neg R) \vee (\neg P \wedge Q \wedge R)$$

Problem 3. Write down the *negation* of the following sentence, without using the negating connective  $\neg$  (you may use the sign of inequality  $\neq$ ).

$$\forall x \forall y (x^2 = y^2 \implies x = y \vee x = -y).$$

$$\exists x \exists y (x^2 = y^2 \wedge x \neq y \wedge x \neq -y)$$

Problem 4. Establish the following logical entailment by the method of your choice. (You may for example write a formal proof in the 'System of Detachment', or do something else, as long as it is clear to the reader what you are doing. The symbol  $\&$  has the same meaning as  $\wedge$ .)

$$P, \neg P \vee Q, Q \implies R, \neg(R \& \neg S) \models S.$$

	<u>Steps</u>	<u>Reasons</u>
1)	$\neg P \vee Q$	Premise
2)	$P \implies Q$	Defn of $\implies$
3)	$Q \implies R$	Premise
4)	$P \implies R$	Hyp. Syl.
5)	$P$	Premise
6)	$R$	Detachment
7)	$\neg(R \& \neg S)$	Premise
8)	$\neg R \vee S$	DeMorgan
9)	$R \implies S$	Defn of $\implies$
10)	$S$	Detachment

**Problem 5.** Assume that the universe consists of the integers (that is, all variables stand for integers); let  $E(x)$  stand for the property of  $x$  being even; and let  $P(x)$  stand for  $x$  being prime. Using the quantifiers  $\forall$  and  $\exists$  as needed, write down symbolically the following statement:

Every even integer that is greater than 4 is the sum of two primes.

$$\forall x [E(x) \wedge x > 4 \Rightarrow \exists a \exists b (P(a) \wedge P(b) \wedge x = a + b)]$$

**Problem 6.** Assuming  $A$  and  $B$  are arbitrary sets, prove

$$A \not\subseteq B \iff A \cap B^c \neq \emptyset.$$

$\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$ . Then there exists an element  $x \in A$  which is not in  $B$ . In other words  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B^c$ . This means that  $x \in A \cap B^c$  and therefore this intersection is not empty.

$\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely suppose that  $A \cap B^c$  is not empty. Hence we can pick an element  $x$  in this intersection. Such an element satisfies  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B^c$ . This follows that  $A$  is not a subset of  $B$ . This finishes the proof.

Problem 7. Explain what is wrong with the following 'proof' of the claim that, for any sets  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ , and  $D$ ,

$$(A \cup B) \cap (C \cup D) \subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D). \quad (*)$$

(Parts of the proof are numbered for ease of reference.)

- (a) Suppose  $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (C \cup D)$ ;
- (b) we shall show  $x \in (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D)$ .
- (c) We have  $x \in A \cup B$
- (d) and  $x \in C \cup D$ .
- (e) Therefore  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ ;
- (f) also,  $x \in C$  or  $x \in D$ .
- (g) If  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$ , then  $x \in A \cap C$ .
- (h) If  $x \in B$  and  $x \in D$ , then  $x \in B \cap D$ .
- (i) Since  $A \cap C \subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D)$
- (j) and  $B \cap D \subseteq (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D)$ ,
- (k) it follows that  $x \in (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap D)$ .
- (l) Therefore  $(*)$  holds.

The line (k) does not follow from the previous lines.