Analytic Geometry Exercises Lines, Planes, Distances Prepared by Tolga Karayayla

1. Find all points on the line 3x - 5y = 12 which are at a distance of 3 units from the line 4x - 3y = 2.

2. Find all points on the circle  $x^2 + y^2 - 10x + 2y = 75$  whose distance from the line x + y = 6 is  $2\sqrt{2}$ .

3. What is the distance between the point P(2,3,5) and the plane *T* given by x - 2y + 4z = 23? Find the point *Q* on *T* which is closest to *P*.

4. Find all points on the line  $L : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (4 + t, 5 - t, 7 + 2t), t \in \mathbb{R}$  which are at a distance of 5 units from the plane  $2x - 4y + 5z = \sqrt{5}$ .

5. Find the distance from P(3,4,7) to the line  $L : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (1 + t, 1 + 2t, 3 + 3t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and find the point  $Q \in L$  which is the closest point to *P* among all points on *L*.

6. Let two lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be given by the symmetric equations

$$L_1: x = \frac{3-y}{2} = \frac{2z+1}{4}$$
 and  $L_2: \frac{x+3}{4} = \frac{z-5}{8}$ ,  $y = 2$ .

a) What are direction vectors of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ?

b) Show that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are skew lines.

c) Write down the equations of the two planes  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $L_1 \subset T_1$ ,  $L_2 \subset T_2$  and  $T_1//T_2$ .

d) Use equation of  $T_1$  you found in part (c) and a chosen point  $P_2 \in L_2$  to calculate the distance between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

e) Can we generalize the situation in part (c) to any pair of skew lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ? In other words, if  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are skew lines, can we find planes  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $L_1 \subset T_1$ ,  $L_2 \subset T_2$  and  $T_1//T_2$ ? Are these planes  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  unique?

7. Let two lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  be given by

 $L_1: \vec{r_1}(t) = (x, y, z) = (2+t, 3-t, 5+t), t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } L_2: \vec{r_2}(t) = (x, y, z) = (1+2t, 1+3t, 1+4t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

a) Show that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are skew lines.

b) Find the distance between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

c) Find the points  $P \in L_1$  and  $Q \in L_2$  such that the distance between P and Q is the distance d between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  (P and Q are the closest points to each other while one is on  $L_1$  and the other is on  $L_2$ ).

8. For two lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  given by  $L_1 : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = \vec{P_1} + t\vec{v_1}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $L_2 : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = \vec{P_2} + t\vec{v_2}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , when  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are skew lines, the distance *d* between  $L_1$ 

and  $L_2$  is given by the formula

$$d = \frac{|P_1 P_2 \cdot \vec{n}|}{|\vec{n}|} = \frac{|P_1 P_2 \cdot (\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2)|}{|\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2|}$$

where  $\vec{n} = \vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2$  (Indeed,  $\vec{n}$  is a normal vector of the planes  $T_1 / / T_2$  such that  $L_1 \subset T_1$  and  $L_2 \subset T_2$  for the skew lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ ).

a) Why doesn't this formula work when the lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are parallel or coincident? b) Explain why the distance *d* between two skew lines is always positive. (What can you say about two lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  when the distance between them is 0?)

c) If  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$  are not parallel vectors, then  $\vec{n} = \vec{v_1} \times \vec{v_2} \neq \vec{0}$ . In this case, if we find d = 0 from the above formula, what is the conclusion about the lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  (are they coincident, parallel, skew or intersecting)?

d) If  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$  are not parallel vectors, in the formula given above d = 0 if and only if  $P_1 P_2 \cdot (\vec{v_1} \times \vec{v_2}) = 0$ . Combine this observation and your conclusion in part (c) to obtain the following criterion:

If two lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are not parallel or coincident (that is, if their direction vectors  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$  are not parallel vectors), then  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are intersectiong lines if and only if  $P_1 P_2 \cdot (\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2) = 0$  where  $P_1 \in L_1$  and  $P_2 \in L_2$  are two points arbitrarily chosen from the lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

(Note that  $P_1 P_2 \cdot (\vec{v_1} \times \vec{v_2}) = 0$  means that the triple product of these three vectors is 0, and as a consequence, the vectors  $P_1 P_2$ ,  $\vec{v_1}$  and  $\vec{v_2}$  are coplanar (they lie on the same plane).)

9. Find the distance between the lines  $L_1 : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (3 + t, 2 - 5t, 3t), t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $L_2 : x + 1 = \frac{1 - 3y}{15} = \frac{z + 8}{3}$ .

10.a) Let *L* be a line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P_0$  be a point in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $P_0 \notin L$ . Characterize all lines  $\tilde{L}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\tilde{L}$  passes through  $P_0$  and  $\tilde{L}$  intersets *L*. Is it true that the set of all such lines  $\tilde{L}$  is the set of all lines through  $P_0$ ?

b) Let *L* be a line in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and  $P_0$  be a point in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $P_0 \notin L$ . Characterie all lines  $\tilde{L}$  such that  $\tilde{L}$  passes through  $P_0$  and  $\tilde{L}$  intersects *L*. Is the set of all such lines  $\tilde{L}$  equal to the set of lines on a certain plane which all pass through  $P_0$ , or do we need to exclude certain lines from this set? Which plane is this, and which line(s) should be excluded? What is the union of all such lines  $\tilde{L}$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?.

11. Let A = (1,0,2) and  $L_1$  be the line given by  $L_1 : \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (1+3t, 1+4t, 1+5t)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For each line  $L_2$  given below, find a line L (if such a line L exists at all) such that  $A \in L$  and L intersects both of the lines  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

a)  $L_2: \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (5 + 2t, 5 + t, 3 + 2t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (There is a unique line *L* in this case.) b)  $L_2: \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (1 + 3t, -1 + 5t, 5 + 2t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (No such line *L* exists in this case.) c)  $L_2: \vec{P} = (x, y, z) = (2, 3, 4) + t(4, 5, 7), t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (No such line *L* exists in this case).

12. When a line *L* in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is given (by a vector equation, or parametric equations for example), how can you describe it as the intersection of two planes? You can do it in nfinitely many different ways, but a very fast way of finding two such planes is to look

at the symmetric equations of the line. Let  $L: \frac{x+x_0}{v_1} = \frac{y-y_0}{v_2} = \frac{z-z_0}{v_3}$  be symmetric equations of *L* (Note that if one or two of  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  or  $v_3$  are 0, symmetric equations have other forms). From these equations, can you immediately write down equations of two planes which both contain *L*? What are they?

If one or two of the components of a direction vector  $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  of *L* are zero, the form of symmetric equations of *L* is different. For example, if  $v_2 = 0$ , the symmetric equations of *L* are *L* :  $\frac{x-x_0}{v_1} = \frac{z-z_0}{v_3}$ ,  $y = y_0$ . What are equations of two distinct planes each contining the line *L*?

## Comments and hints for some of the problems

Problem 1. You should find 2 such points.

Problem 2. You should find 4 points in your answer.

Problem 4. You should find 2 points.

Problem 7. (part (c)) The quickest solution is by expressing *P* and *Q* in terms of parameters *t* (for *P*) and *s* (for *Q*) and then obtaining a system of 2 equations in 2 unknowns *s* and *t* using certain perpendicularity properties about *P*, *Q* and direction vectors of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

Problem 11. Note that one approach to solve this question is as follows: If such a line *L* exists, let  $L \cap L_1 = B$  and  $L \cap L_2 = C$ . Using equations of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , *B* can be written in terms of *t* and *C* can be written in terms of *s* where *t* and *s* are two parameters. Then, *A*, *B*, *C* are on the same line *L* iff (if and only if)  $\vec{AB} \times \vec{AC} = \vec{0}$ . This completely translates the problem to the solution of a system of 3 equations in two unknowns *s* and *t*. But the equations are not linear in *s* and *t*, they are of the form ast+bs+ct+d=0. Such a system can be solved systematically, but a more geometric approach for the solution is as described:

Consider the plane *T* containing *A* and  $L_1$ . If *L* exists, then *L* must be on *T* (why?). If  $L_2$  does not lie on *T* and  $Q = L \cap L_2$ , then  $Q = L_2 \cap T$  (why?). Draw a picture illustrating  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , *A* and *T*. Then try to solve the problem using these hints. You will need to write down the equation of *T*. You should also be careful when you find an intersection point *C* of *T* and  $L_2$ . Even if there is an intersection point *C*, it does not mean that *L* exists (see part (b) of the question). What do you need to check after finding *C* to conclude that *L* exists?