EE 493 DESIGN STUDIO ORAL PRESENTATION

EVALUATION FORM

Evaluator:	SHAREHOLDERS		
	A		
Company:	В		
	C		
DS coordinator:	D		
	E		

	Evaluation Criterion	Excellent (5 points)	Average (3 points)	Poor (1 point)	Team Score
1	Company Profile & Problem Statement:	 Presentation shows a <u>clear</u> understanding of the problem Provides <u>sufficient</u> information about the company 	 Presentation shows <u>some</u> understanding of the problem Provides <u>some</u> information about the company 	 Presentation shows a vague understanding of the problem Provides no information about the company 	
2	Objectives:	 Objectives and requirements are <u>clearly</u> stated and measurable. There is a <u>clear</u> relationship between the objectives and a solution to the problem. 	 Some objectives and requirements are stated and/or are measurable. Some relationship between the objectives and a solution to the problem is evident. 	 Objectives and requirements are <u>not</u> clearly stated or are not measurable. <u>No</u> relationship between the objectives and a solution to the problem is evident. 	
3	Approach:	 Presentation reflects a detailed and accurate description of what steps need to be taken to achieve success. There is <u>full</u> justification of why the various steps are needed. Information presented is correct and accurate. 		 Presentation <u>fails</u> to reflect a detailed description of what steps need to be taken to achieve success. There is <u>no</u> justification of why the various steps are needed. Presentation fails to convince the listener to depend on the contents as a source of accurate information. 	
4	Proof of Concept:	 Test results are presented as preliminary proof of the conceptual design. Quantitative/statistical test results are presented. 	 Some test results are presented but are not sufficient to validate the conceptual design. Test results are not quantitative/statistical. 	 Conceptual design is not supported by experiments and test results. Quantitative/statistical test results are not presented. 	
5	Resources & Outcomes:	 Deliverables are completely listed A time plan with project milestones is given professionally A detailed cost analysis is provided. Presentation <u>clearly</u> shows that the team has necessary background, experience, equipment, supplies, time, and financial resources for the successful completion of the project. 	 A time plan with project milestones is given. A cost analysis is provided Presentation <u>partially</u> shows that 	 Deliverables are not listed. A time plan with project milestones is not given. A cost analysis is not provided Presentation <u>fails</u> to show that the team has necessary background, experience, equipment, supplies, time, and financial resources for the successful completion of the project. 	
6	Overall Impression:	 Proposal was presented in a professional way. Based on this presentation, I woul fund this project without hesitation. 	 Proposal was presented in a semiprofessional way Based on this presentation, I would <u>have reservations</u> to fund this project. 	 Proposal was presented in a nonprofessional way Based on this presentation, I would not fund this project 	

	Evaluation	valuation Poor Average Excellent		Score					
	Criterion	(1 point)	(3 points)	(5 points)	A	В	С	D	Е
7	Organization	Sequence of information presented is <u>difficult</u> to follow <u>Lacks</u> beginning or ending or beginning or ending <u>inappropriate</u> Does not move smoothly from one idea to the next	Presents information in logical sequence which audience can follow Uses an appropriate beginning or ending Moves smoothly from one idea to the next some of the time	Presents information in logical, interesting sequence that audience can follow Uses a motivating beginning and/or thoughtful ending Moves smoothly from one idea to the next most of the time					
8	Grammar and Spelling	Presentation has plenty pronunciation, spelling and/or grammatical errors	Presentation has <u>some</u> pronunciation, spelling and/or grammatical errors	Presentation has <u>very few</u> pronunciation, spelling and/or grammatical errors					
9	Visual Impact of Slides	Font size and style makes slides difficult to follow. Slide design is too crowded or fancy to follow. Too much information is included. Lot of irrelevant information or detail was included. Superfluous graphics or no graphics	Font size and style is appropriate for reading but could be better. Slides are often too crowded and the design is inappropriate. Most of the information is appropriate. Some irrelevant information or detail was included. Graphics relate to text and presentation and contribute to the quality of the presentation	Font size and style is well selected to be seen by all. Slide design allows for easy reading. Details are minimized so that main points stand out. No irrelevant information was included. Graphics explain and reinforce screen text and enhance the presentation					
10	Professionalism	Personal appearance is inappropriate for the occasion and audience. Sources of information and support not acknowledged.	Personal appearance is somewhat inappropriate for the occasion and audience. Some acknowledgment of sources of information and support.	Personal appearance is completely appropriate for the occasion and the audience. Sources of information and support fully acknowledged.					
11	Presentation Style and Delivery	Listeners are so distracted by the presenter's apparent difficulty with presentation that they cannot focus on the ideas presented. Read notes or slides and did not look away from notes or slides. Body language shows obvious nervous tension. No effort to make eye contact. Monotone voice.	Listeners can follow the presentation, but some difficulty in presentation is distracting from ideas presented. Read some notes or slides but also looked away from notes and slides. Body language shows some nervous tension. Occasional unsustained eye contact. Voice with some inflection.	Listeners are captivated by the presentation and are very focused on ideas presented. Referred to but did not read from notes or slides. Body language is relaxed. Consistent eye contact. Voice is clear with interesting modulation.					

Additional comments:	