Conceptual Design Report Assessment Guidelines v.2016.3

NOTE: This rubric has a dual purpose: (1) to give a guideline for EE493-EE494 students about the criteria and overall expectations from the Conceptual Design Reports and (2) to establish a common guideline for us, the design studio coordinators, in evaluating the reports. Note that the rubric, being only a guideline at this point for all of us, should not be perceived as a strict set of requirements for the content of a successful report. However, we hope that the detailed comments below include a large number of clues to serve the preparation of such a report. An attempt was made to reflect the expectations of all design studio coordinators in the rubric. However, each corresponding coordinator will still be evaluating freely based on his/her best judgment.

	Excellent (10-9)	Good (8-7)	Marginally Satisfactory (6-5)	Unsatisfactory (4-0)
Executive Summary	The executive summary is professional and creates curiosity in the reader to go further in the report.		The executive summary resembles an introduction to the report. It lacks some of the important information about the project (e.g., motivation, problem statement, solution procedure, deliverables).	or exists but lacks a lot of relevant
Introduction and Conclusion	the project, the current situation about the work on the project, the scope of the report, organization of the report. A conclusion based on the analysis of the main solution and its alternatives is included. It also includes concluding remarks	The introduction contains statement of the project, the current situation about the work on the project, the scope of the report; organization of the report. A summary of the main conceptual solution concludes the report without mentioning any alternatives. The conclusion briefly recaps the key points of the report. It includes final conclusion about the content presented in the report.	of the project.	The introduction provides erroneous or ill posed statement of the problem without any meaningful depth. No conclusion is provided.
Solution: Overall System	block diagram and system operation with a functional flow diagram are clearly indicated. The diagrams include sub-system interaction and interfaces. An extensive feasibility analysis is incorporated both for main solution concept as well as for its alternatives.	The diagrams include major sub-system interactions and interfaces, but lack some components. There is a feasibility analysis incorporated to some extent. Expected weight, dimension, and total power consumption of the robot are	block diagram and system operation with a functional flow diagram are partially indicated. The diagrams partially include sub-system interaction and interfaces. Feasibility analysis has been conducted vaguely. Expected weight, dimensions, total power	with a block diagram and system operation with a functional flow diagram are missing. There is no awareness of or concern about feasibility. Expected weight, dimensions, total power consumption, and etc. of the

Solution: Sub-system	Individual sub-systems are clearly Individual sub-systems are described. Sub-system level requirements system level requirements and their relation to system level requirements are identified. Solution for each sub-system and relevant algorithms are clearly provided. Plan B for critical sub-systems are indicated.	described. Sub-system level requirements and their relation to system level requirements are partially identified. Solution for each sub-system and relevant	relevant algorithms are not mentioned. Sub-system level requirements and their relation to
Solution: Test Results	Test procedures and test results for Test plans and test results for subsystems (evaluated to date) are clearly (evaluated to date) are presented. Evaluation of the test results with respect to the subsystem level requirements is discussed. Alternative solutions are evaluated and design decisions are made based on comparative analyses of the test and/or simulation results.	(evaluated to date) are partially presented. Evaluation of the test results is partially made but the results are not evaluated with respect to the subsystem level requirements. Alternative solutions are	subsystems (evaluated to date) are missing. Evaluation of the test
Plans: Management	Detailed breakdown of planned work and other responsibilities among the team members is clearly presented. The time schedule is given professionally as a Gantt chart supported by justification of sequential and parallel tasks. Team member allocation is shown. Steps from concept to completion have been scheduled efficiently and in details including possible vacation days. Foreseeable difficulties (risks) are clearly discussed and contingency plans for handling these difficulties are clearly indicate d. A detailed cost analysis is provided to indicate the estimated cost of the project. Deliverables are completely listed.	responsibilities among the team members is partially presented. The time schedule is given as a Gantt chart but lacks justification of sequential and parallel tasks. Foreseeable difficulties (risks) are partially discussed. A cost analysis is provided but missing many items, and/or provides erroneous costs.	other responsibilities among the team members is missing. The time schedule is missing. Discussion on foreseeable difficulties (risks) is missing.
Plans: Engineering	Test plans and measure of success for subsystems (yet to be built) are clearly explained. Integration plans, test procedures, and measure of success for the complete system are clearly described.	subsystems (yet to be built) are partially explained.	for sub-systems (yet to be built) are not explained. Integration plans, test procedures,

English Use, Spelling,	spelling, punctuation, and grammar are observed in the report.	of language. Some errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar are observed in	The use of English is problematic, sometimes making it difficult to understand the material. Frequent errors in spelling, punctuation, and grammar.	understand. No attention is given to
Organization and	format with a consistent style. All required sections of the report exist and supporting details are included as appendices as necessary.	format with minor issues with the style. Most of the required sections of the report exist and supporting details are included as appendices as necessary.	-	mistakes related to the style. Many of the required sections of the report are missing. Many diagrams are missing.
the Report	diagrams are included and support the	understanding of the text. A list of references is present with correct	are incorrect.	The quality (resolutions) of the figures is poor.